

Download Matthews V. Zanes Lessee

4. On the 7th of February, 1804, the office of receiver of public moneys at Marietta then being vacant, Matthews applied to the register of the land-office at Marietta, for the purchase of that fraction, who received the application, and gave Matthews a certificate thereof. 9 U.S. 92. 5 Cranch 92. 3 L.Ed. 46. MATTHEWS v. ZANE'S LESSEE. February Term, 1809. ERROR to the supreme court of the state of Ohio for the county of Muskingum, in an action of ejectment brought by Zane's Lessee against Matthews, in which both parties claimed title under the laws of the United States. U.S. Supreme Court Matthews v. Zane's Lessee, 9 U.S. 5 Cranch 92 92 (1809) Matthews v. Zane's Lessee. 9 U.S. (5 Cranch) 92. Syllabus. It was decided in this case: MARSHALL, Ch. J. stated the opinion of the court to be that the decision of the court below was correct; that the erection of the Zaneville district suspended the power of sale in the Marietta district, Matthews V. Zanes Lessee.

Other Files :